

REGISTER OF CLASSIFICATION DECISIONS

Date of entry in Register: 30 July 1998
Name of applicant/court: Comptroller of Customs
Applicant to the Labelling Body: Not Applicable
Title of Publication: Pink Pussy Panther
Other Known Titles: Fistfuck Revue Pink Pussy Panther Vol 2
Director: Not Applicable
Producer: Not Applicable
Publisher: Not Stated
Author: Not Applicable
Format: Video Slick
Country of Origin: Holland
Language: Dutch

.....
Components of film originally examined:

Feature:	Not Applicable	Running time:
Trailers:		Running time:
		Total Running time:

Excision/Alteration: Not Applicable.

Reason(s) for Excision:

Not Applicable.

Classification Decision:

Objectionable.

Display Conditions:

Not Applicable.

Descriptive Note:

... Please turn over

Not Applicable.

.....

Direction to issue a label has been given on Not Applicable

.....

SUMMARY OF THE REASONS FOR DECISION:

The Classification Office classifies the video slick entitled *Pink Pussy Panther* as: Objectionable.

This classification is due to the video slick’s degrading and dehumanising elements. The video slick significantly degrades and dehumanises the women presented participating in the sexual activities. The photographs on the slick focus upon fisting (the insertion of the fist into the vagina or anus), and the multiple penetration of women such as simultaneous vaginal fisting and anal intercourse, simultaneous anal penetration by a dildo and vaginal fisting, and simultaneous fellatio and vaginal fisting. The dominating emphasis on penetration degrades and dehumanises the women by portraying the women as a collection of orifices. The derogatory language describing the women presented in the related video recording reinforces the presentation of women as sexual objects.

In making this decision, the Classification Office has considered the right of freedom of expression enshrined in the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990. However, the Classification Office considers that the likelihood of injury to be caused by the availability of this publication outweighs the right to freedom of expression.

OFLC Ref: 9701136